

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

KENNETH PUND, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	CASE NO. 1:16CV1076
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
)	
CITY OF BEDFORD, OHIO, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	ORDER [Resolving ECF No. 37]

Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Discovery Requests to Defendants. [ECF No. 37](#). Although Plaintiffs indicate that they have made a sincere, good faith effort to resolve the matter, the Motion is premature.

The Motion does not comply with [Local Rule 37.1](#), which provides for a three-step process for resolution of discovery disputes. The first step is a telephone conference. A discovery motion should only be filed after having been solicited by the Court. See [Mohney v. USA Hockey, Inc.](#), 5 F. App'x 450, 459–60 (6th Cir. 2001); [Infocision Mgmt. Corp. v. Found. for Moral Law, Inc.](#), No. 5:08CV1342, 2009 WL 1661650, at *2 (N.D. Ohio June 15, 2009).

Plaintiffs' Motion ([ECF No. 37](#)) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

August 24, 2017
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge